

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

* If an agent has been appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below and complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Mrs	
First Name		
Last Name	Thornton	
Job Title (where relevant to this representation)		
Organisation (where relevant to this representation)		
Address Line 1		
Line 2	Pudsey	
Line 3		
Line 4	West Yorkshire	
Post Code	LS28	
Telephone Number		
Email Address		
Signature:		Date: 18/01/16

3. Please let us know if you wish to be notified of the following:

	Yes	No
The publication of the Inspector's Report?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
The adoption of the Core Strategy?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Are you attaching any additional sheets / documents that relate to this representation?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No of sheets / documents submitted :			

Core Strategy Development Plan Document Proposed Main Modifications – November 2015 Representation Form

For Office Use only:

Date

Ref

PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - *Please use a separate sheet for each representation.* (Additional Part B forms can be downloaded from the web page)

4. To which proposed main modification does this representation relate?

Proposed Main Modification number:

MM17 MM18

5. Do support or object the proposed main modification?

Support

Object

6. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'legally compliant'?

Yes

No

7. Do you consider the proposed main modification to be 'sound'?

Yes

No – 'unsound'

8. If you consider the proposed main modification to be 'unsound', please identify which test of soundness your comments relate to?

Positively prepared

Justified

Effective

Consistent with National Planning Policy (the NPPF)

9. Please give details of why you consider the proposed main modification is not legally compliant or is unsound in light of the main modifications proposed. Please be as precise as possible.

If you wish to support the proposed main modification please use this box to set out your comments.

(Please note: Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support / justify the representation and the suggested change. It is important that your representation relates to the proposed main modifications).

The need to meet housing targets does not override the purposes of the Green Belt protection set out in the National Planning Policy Framework. This proposal would undermine the strategic function of the Green Belt in this location. There are plenty of brownfield sites suitable for housing.

Also, the need for employment land has not been sufficiently identified and seems to be exaggerated. The Bradford Growth Assessment did not provide enough independent evidence supporting a land release at Tong and the Neighbourhood Development Plan did not support the use of the Tong Valley for housing as there is overwhelming public opposition to the proposals. This land is necessary to separate Leeds and Bradford thus preserving the unique identity of each city. No exceptional circumstances can justify the creation of one massive urban sprawl.

10. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at Q7 above.

You need to say why this change will make the proposed main modification legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I am not a planner, so I am not qualified to answer this question.

11. Signature:

[Redacted Signature]

Date:

18/01/16

Thank you for taking the time to complete this Representation Form.